

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/876,953	06/08/2001		Yahata Taneaki	5890-005-999	8988	
20583	7590	02/03/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES DA	·Υ		JOHNSON, EDWARD M			
222 EAST 4 NEW YORK	-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK	1, 1, 1,	5017		1754		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 - 1 -	Annlinati	on No	A						
	,	Application		Applicant(s)	$\bigcirc$					
	Office Antique Commence	09/876,9	53	TANEAKI ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit						
	*		l. Johnson	1754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2003.										
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)⊠ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4) 🛛 (	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed.									
6)□ (	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) 🗌 (	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers										
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.										
P	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. <ol> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific</li> </ul>										
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
Attachment(s	s)									
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2 (PTO-15)						
.S. Patent and Trac	demark Office			4,444						

Art Unit: 1754

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement.

#### Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the drawing is labeled "Fig. 1", which should be deleted, as it is the only figure in the application. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such

Art Unit: 1754

as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "means" is used in line 6. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the figure is referred to as "Fig. 1", which should be changed to "the figure", since there is only one figure in the application.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 2 is allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The combination of means for continuously supplying and heating calcium or barium oxide and means for agitating/moving calcium or barium halide in the apparatus for treatment of organic halide at 400-700 degrees

Art Unit: 1754

Celsius would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Smith et al. US 5,417,934 discloses a dry exhaust conditioning apparatus for gases derived from semiconductor etching (see figure, Examples).
- 9. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The abstract and drawing are objected to and Applicant has not yet canceled nonelected claim 1.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1754

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

EMJ

STANLEY S. SILVERMAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700